

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**MARLYN WEBB and
PAULINE SANCHEZ,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. CIV 08-0411 MV/LAM

MICHAEL PADILLA, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING, AS MOOT, PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR CERTAIN DISCOVERY (Doc. 90)**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' *Motion to Extend Time for Certain Discovery* (Doc. 90), filed on February 13, 2009. Having considered the motion, Defendants City, Schultz and Chavez' response to the motion (Doc. 91), Plaintiffs' reply in support of the motion (Doc. 106), the arguments of counsel at a hearing on the motion held on April 1, 2009, the record of this case and relevant law, the Court **FINDS** that the motion should be **DENIED**, as moot, because the issues raised by the motion have been resolved by the Court in an order setting new pretrial deadlines in this case. *See Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, as Moot, Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify All Deadlines Set Forth in the Scheduling Order and For Scheduling Conference* (Doc. 113) (Doc. 134).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' *Motion to Extend Time for Certain Discovery* (Doc. 90) is **DENIED**, as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE